



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_6031
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Study of Trans-catheter Closure of Patent Ductus Arteriosus with Occluder Devices: Evaluating the efficacy of different devices and the outcome
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1- Title is "Clinical Study of Trans-catheter Closure of Patent Ductus Arteriosus with Occluder Devices at Innova Children's Heart Hospital, Hyderabad, India: Evaluating the efficacy of different devices and the outcome." For this reason, relation between success, complication and device should be addressed in the abstract. 2- Last sentence on the 3rd page should be re-evaluated. 3- Authors should point out the exclusion criteries for the study in the material metod section. In which patients, they prefer surgical approach and state that what the number of surgical cases is in the same period. 4- I would like to know , how is the fate of the patients required surgical intervention. 5- In discussion section, on 11th page, 14th line, authors used term of " occlusion" but they did not state aortic or pulmonary artery.	1. We have relation between success, complication and device should be addressed in the abstract. See abstract, page 1 2-We have re-evaluated the last sentence on the 3rd page. See page 4,line 7-10 3- We have stated the exclusion criteria for the study in the material method section and the the number of surgical cases same period. See methods page 5 (First paragraph) and 7(last paragraph) 4-The fate of the patients that required surgical intervention had been mentioned. See page2,line 5-11 5. We have corrected this. See discussion page 13,line 14
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In this study, outcomes of trans-catheter closure of patent ductus arteriosus were evalueted and the efficacy of different devices was analyzed. Authors stated that the learning curve and acumulating experience is the most important factor to gain successful results and complication occurs more frequently with detachable	Thanks for your commendation



SDI Review Form 1.6

	coils. Article is well written but I would like to ask a few questions before acceptance.	
--	---	--